

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/686,355	10/14/2003	Hanswalter Zentgraf	33684/US-BM	6701	
32940	7590 01/12/2006		EXAMINER		
	WHITNEY LLP RNIA STREET, SUITE	NOLAN, PATRICK J			
SUITE 1000	Remitorial Disport	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN FRANC	CISCO, CA 94104	1644			
			DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Appl	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/6	86,355	ZENTGRAF ET A	ZENTGRAF ET AL.			
		Exan	niner	Art Unit				
			ck J. Nolan	1644				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears o	n the cover sheet w	with the correspondence ac	dress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MANSIONS OF THE MANSIO	AILING DATE O of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In unication. tutory period will apply will, by statute, cause the	F THIS COMMUN no event, however, may a and will expire SIX (6) MO ne application to become A	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on .						
2a)□	•	b)⊠ This action	n is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	8) Claim(s) 1-10 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted	or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	•			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
			·					
Attachmen	t(s)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P		Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date	0.452)			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	6) Other:	f Informal Patent Application (PTC	U-132)			

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-4, drawn to antibodies, classified in class 530, subclass 387.9.
- II. Claims 5-6, drawn to a method of making polyclonal antibodies, classified in class 424, subclass 184.1.
- III. Claims 7-8, drawn to method of detection, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- IV. Claims 9-10, drawn to method of making polyclonal antibodies, classified in class435, subclass 326.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II or IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the antibodies can be made by either the process of Group II or IV.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method of detection can be practiced with a nickel chelate chromatographic column.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1644

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Application/Control Number: 10/686,355 Page 4

Art Unit: 1644

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick Nolan whose telephone number is 571-272-0847.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan, can be reached at 571-272-0841.

Patrick J. Nolan, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner, Group 1640

January 6, 2006